

## 2007 Town of Carbondale Survey Results

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>4 Residency</b>						
Full-time resident	337	82.60%	56	66.67%	230	98.29%
Second Homeowner (for personal or rental use)	66	16.18%	0	0.00%	2	0.85%
Business owner/operator/manager	48	11.76%	50	59.52%	23	9.83%
Other:	18	4.41%	7	8.33%	5	2.14%
Missing Cases	6	1.45%	2	2.33%	2	0.85%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>5 Where do you live?</b>						
Do not live in Carbondale	16	3.96%	25	29.41%	2	0.87%
Section 1 - Areas north of the Rio Grand Trail plus Dolores Way.	62	15.35%	9	10.59%	37	16.09%
Section 2 - All areas south of the Rio Grande Trail, north of Sopris Avenue and east of Hwy 133.	80	19.80%	17	20.00%	54	23.48%
Section 3 - Areas west of Hwy 133 from Main Street to Graceland Drive and also including the northwest corner area of Hwy 133 & Main, Eastbank Point and Indica Way.	100	24.75%	20	23.53%	72	31.30%
Section 4 - All areas east of Hwy 133 and south of Sopris Avenue.	46	11.39%	6	7.06%	19	8.26%
Section 5 - All areas in River Valley Ranch.	100	24.75%	8	9.41%	46	20.00%
Missing Cases	10	2.42%	1	1.16%	6	2.54%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>6 Lived in area?</b>						
Do not live in Carbondale	2	0.49%	10	11.90%	1	0.43%
Less than one year	15	3.67%	2	2.38%	6	2.55%
1-2 years	45	11.00%	4	4.76%	34	14.47%
3-5 years	74	18.09%	10	11.90%	47	20.00%
6-10 years	93	22.74%	21	25.00%	54	22.98%
11-20 years	90	22.00%	17	20.24%	49	20.85%
21-30 years	47	11.49%	12	14.29%	28	11.91%
31+ years	43	10.51%	8	9.52%	16	6.81%
Missing Cases	5	1.21%	2	2.33%	1	0.42%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>7 Issues</b>						
Affordable housing	209	50.85%	59	69.41%	131	55.98%
Child care/early childhood education programs	50	12.17%	14	16.47%	31	13.25%
Economic development	174	42.34%	44	51.76%	91	38.89%
Growth management/slow growth	210	51.09%	31	36.47%	115	49.15%
Preservation of open space	202	49.15%	24	28.24%	112	47.86%
Preservation of small town character	236	57.42%	37	43.53%	131	55.98%
Public education	191	46.47%	40	47.06%	120	51.28%
Environmental protection	163	39.66%	27	31.76%	75	32.05%
Traffic mobility and circulation	208	50.61%	46	54.12%	121	51.71%
Loss of middle class	139	33.82%	35	41.18%	84	35.90%
Public safety	113	27.49%	14	16.47%	58	24.79%
Other:	82	19.95%	17	20.00%	53	22.65%
Missing Cases	3	0.72%	1	1.16%	2	0.85%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>8 Why live in area?</b>						
I was born here	29	7.04%	2	2.33%	14	5.98%
Proximity to family/spouse/friends	116	28.16%	17	19.77%	58	24.79%
Employment opportunities	135	32.77%	33	38.37%	65	27.78%
Recreational amenities	197	47.82%	44	51.16%	112	47.86%
Proximity to Ski Resort	86	20.87%	16	18.60%	48	20.51%
Came here looking for a place to retire	33	8.01%	2	2.33%	23	9.83%
Cultural amenities	59	14.32%	17	19.77%	34	14.53%
Winters	44	10.68%	13	15.12%	40	17.09%
Summers	95	23.06%	21	24.42%	63	26.92%
Scenery/surroundings	262	63.59%	53	61.63%	161	68.80%
Air and water quality	105	25.49%	25	29.07%	75	32.05%
Small town atmosphere	277	67.23%	58	67.44%	150	64.10%
Proximity to airport	4	0.97%	3	3.49%	5	2.14%
Friendliness	108	26.21%	27	31.40%	78	33.33%
Safe community	126	30.58%	29	33.72%	79	33.76%
Good place to raise children	89	21.60%	26	30.23%	60	25.64%
Rental income	23	5.58%	1	1.16%	3	1.28%
Investment potential	57	13.83%	10	11.63%	15	6.41%
More affordable than other mountain resorts	22	5.34%	6	6.98%	20	8.55%
Intend to vacation here for years	9	2.18%	0	0.00%	1	0.43%
Intend to retire here	47	11.41%	3	3.49%	12	5.13%
Other:	50	12.14%	13	15.12%	29	12.39%
Missing Cases	2	0.48%	0	0.00%	2	0.85%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>10 Economic health</b>						
1 Not Important	12	3.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.44%
2	13	3.25%	2	2.35%	6	2.62%
3	49	12.25%	10	11.76%	49	21.40%
4	133	33.25%	24	28.24%	68	29.69%
5 Very Important	193	48.25%	49	57.65%	105	45.85%
Missing Cases	14	3.38%	1	1.16%	7	2.97%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>11 Affordable housing</b>						
1 Not Important	35	8.75%	3	3.61%	19	8.26%
2	42	10.50%	9	10.84%	21	9.13%
3	102	25.50%	16	19.28%	41	17.83%
4	96	24.00%	15	18.07%	47	20.43%
5 Very Important	125	31.25%	40	48.19%	102	44.35%
Missing Cases	14	3.38%	3	3.49%	6	2.54%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>12 Recreational opportunities</b>						
1 Not Important	17	4.28%	2	2.41%	13	5.80%
2	19	4.79%	1	1.20%	11	4.91%
3	66	16.62%	14	16.87%	47	20.98%
4	118	29.72%	29	34.94%	66	29.46%
5 Very Important	177	44.58%	37	44.58%	87	38.84%
Missing Cases	17	4.11%	3	3.49%	12	5.08%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>13 Shopping opportunities</b>						
1 Not Important	61	15.44%	11	12.94%	27	11.84%
2	81	20.51%	14	16.47%	41	17.98%
3	125	31.65%	25	29.41%	73	32.02%
4	66	16.71%	18	21.18%	39	17.11%
5 Very Important	62	15.70%	17	20.00%	48	21.05%
Missing Cases	19	4.59%	1	1.16%	8	3.39%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>14 Public transportation</b>						
1 Not Important	49	12.44%	9	10.84%	22	9.73%
2	53	13.45%	8	9.64%	23	10.18%
3	106	26.90%	22	26.51%	58	25.66%
4	109	27.66%	25	30.12%	65	28.76%
5 Very Important	77	19.54%	19	22.89%	58	25.66%
Missing Cases	20	4.83%	3	3.49%	10	4.24%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>15 Sense of community</b>						
1 Not Important	11	2.80%	1	1.18%	3	1.30%
2	20	5.09%	2	2.35%	8	3.46%
3	50	12.72%	12	14.12%	42	18.18%
4	120	30.53%	20	23.53%	48	20.78%
5 Very Important	192	48.85%	50	58.82%	130	56.28%
Missing Cases	21	5.07%	1	1.16%	5	2.12%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>16 Cultural integration</b>						
1 Not Important	39	9.95%	3	3.61%	19	8.41%
2	50	12.76%	6	7.23%	19	8.41%
3	89	22.70%	23	27.71%	62	27.43%
4	118	30.10%	25	30.12%	56	24.78%
5 Very Important	96	24.49%	26	31.33%	70	30.97%
Missing Cases	22	5.31%	3	3.49%	10	4.24%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>17 Health care services</b>						
1 Not Important	19	4.77%	2	2.38%	8	3.56%
2	28	7.04%	6	7.14%	14	6.22%
3	105	26.38%	20	23.81%	47	20.89%
4	120	30.15%	29	34.52%	75	33.33%
5 Very Important	126	31.66%	27	32.14%	81	36.00%
Missing Cases	16	3.86%	2	2.33%	11	4.66%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>18 Education (K-12)</b>						
1 Not Important	35	8.88%	8	9.52%	17	7.62%
2	25	6.35%	2	2.38%	12	5.38%
3	65	16.50%	8	9.52%	26	11.66%
4	73	18.53%	20	23.81%	43	19.28%
5 Very Important	196	49.75%	46	54.76%	125	56.05%
Missing Cases	20	4.83%	2	2.33%	13	5.51%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>19 Adult education</b>						
1 Not Important	45	11.51%	12	14.29%	15	6.70%
2	71	18.16%	15	17.86%	29	12.95%
3	129	32.99%	25	29.76%	79	35.27%
4	96	24.55%	17	20.24%	61	27.23%
5 Very Important	50	12.79%	15	17.86%	40	17.86%
Missing Cases	23	5.56%	2	2.33%	12	5.08%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>20 Music, Culture and the Arts</b>						
1 Not Important	26	6.55%	3	3.57%	12	5.33%
2	34	8.56%	10	11.90%	18	8.00%
3	76	19.14%	17	20.24%	55	24.44%
4	148	37.28%	28	33.33%	79	35.11%
5 Very Important	113	28.46%	26	30.95%	61	27.11%
Missing Cases	17	4.11%	2	2.33%	11	4.66%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>21 Water quality/quantity</b>						
1 Not Important	6	1.52%	1	1.19%	1	0.44%
2	2	0.51%	1	1.19%	3	1.33%
3	25	6.31%	8	9.52%	16	7.08%
4	95	23.99%	24	28.57%	44	19.47%
5 Very Important	268	67.68%	50	59.52%	162	71.68%
Missing Cases	18	4.35%	2	2.33%	10	4.24%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>22 Parking</b>						
1 Not Important	28	7.18%	5	6.02%	19	8.41%
2	73	18.72%	19	22.89%	28	12.39%
3	140	35.90%	30	36.14%	88	38.94%
4	86	22.05%	17	20.48%	47	20.80%
5 Very Important	63	16.15%	12	14.46%	44	19.47%
Missing Cases	24	5.80%	3	3.49%	10	4.24%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>23 Scenic/visual quality</b>						
1 Not Important	5	1.27%	0	0.00%	3	1.32%
2	4	1.02%	2	2.35%	3	1.32%
3	38	9.67%	9	10.59%	21	9.25%
4	104	26.46%	25	29.41%	62	27.31%
5 Very Important	242	61.58%	49	57.65%	138	60.79%
Missing Cases	21	5.07%	1	1.16%	9	3.81%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>24 Parks and trails</b>						
1 Not Important	10	2.54%	1	1.19%	5	2.22%
2	12	3.05%	5	5.95%	4	1.78%
3	32	8.12%	10	11.90%	33	14.67%
4	135	34.26%	26	30.95%	69	30.67%
5 Very Important	205	52.03%	42	50.00%	114	50.67%
Missing Cases	20	4.83%	2	2.33%	11	4.66%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>25 Traffic</b>						
1 Not Important	7	1.79%	1	1.22%	3	1.33%
2	17	4.36%	6	7.32%	9	3.98%
3	77	19.74%	14	17.07%	39	17.26%
4	128	32.82%	29	35.37%	69	30.53%
5 Very Important	161	41.28%	32	39.02%	106	46.90%
Missing Cases	24	5.80%	4	4.65%	10	4.24%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>26 Appearance of town</b>						
1 Not Important	8	2.02%	1	1.18%	5	2.18%
2	10	2.53%	3	3.53%	8	3.49%
3	43	10.86%	8	9.41%	28	12.23%
4	152	38.38%	33	38.82%	88	38.43%
5 Very Important	183	46.21%	40	47.06%	100	43.67%
Missing Cases	18	4.35%	1	1.16%	7	2.97%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>27 Public safety</b>						
1 Not Important	4	1.02%	0	0.00%	2	0.88%
2	4	1.02%	2	2.44%	5	2.19%
3	44	11.25%	16	19.51%	20	8.77%
4	107	27.37%	23	28.05%	57	25.00%
5 Very Important	232	59.34%	41	50.00%	144	63.16%
Missing Cases	23	5.56%	4	4.65%	8	3.39%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>28 Child care</b>						
1 Not Important	91	23.27%	22	26.83%	53	23.56%
2	85	21.74%	10	12.20%	33	14.67%
3	91	23.27%	21	25.61%	56	24.89%
4	64	16.37%	18	21.95%	42	18.67%
5 Very Important	60	15.35%	11	13.41%	41	18.22%
Missing Cases	23	5.56%	4	4.65%	11	4.66%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>29 Open space</b>						
1 Not Important	9	2.30%	4	4.88%	5	2.20%
2	15	3.84%	4	4.88%	7	3.08%
3	47	12.02%	23	28.05%	37	16.30%
4	123	31.46%	27	32.93%	65	28.63%
5 Very Important	197	50.38%	24	29.27%	113	49.78%
Missing Cases	23	5.56%	4	4.65%	9	3.81%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>30 Air quality</b>						
1 Not Important	5	1.26%	1	1.19%	3	1.32%
2	5	1.26%	4	4.76%	2	0.88%
3	27	6.82%	8	9.52%	14	6.17%
4	89	22.47%	21	25.00%	47	20.70%
5 Very Important	270	68.18%	50	59.52%	161	70.93%
Missing Cases	18	4.35%	2	2.33%	9	3.81%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>32 Growth</b>						
Zero Growth	24	5.91%	2	2.38%	10	4.29%
Less growth than at present	180	44.33%	29	34.52%	105	45.06%
About the same rate of growth as at the present	101	24.88%	26	30.95%	54	23.18%
More growth but some controls	52	12.81%	14	16.67%	29	12.45%
No growth controls	18	4.43%	5	5.95%	11	4.72%
Other:	31	7.64%	8	9.52%	24	10.30%
Missing Cases	8	1.93%	2	2.33%	3	1.27%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>33 Parking limits</b>						
Yes	178	44.72%	32	40.51%	82	36.94%
No	146	36.68%	36	45.57%	99	44.59%
The parking policy is already implemented in my neighborhood	62	15.58%	6	7.59%	33	14.86%
Other:	34	8.54%	9	11.39%	18	8.11%
Missing Cases	16	3.86%	7	8.14%	14	5.93%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>34 Code - Neighborhood</b>						
Littering	213	55.91%	38	47.50%	109	50.46%
Residential occupancy	214	56.17%	38	47.50%	129	59.72%
Vehicles idling	121	31.76%	25	31.25%	55	25.46%
Disabled / abandoned vehicles	237	62.20%	45	56.25%	117	54.17%
Storage in public right of way of private vehicles / RVs/ materials/ etc	178	46.72%	39	48.75%	94	43.52%
On street parking	137	35.96%	18	22.50%	64	29.63%
"Stored" materials /vehicles on private property	149	39.11%	26	32.50%	69	31.94%
Weeds	119	31.23%	18	22.50%	71	32.87%
Noise	155	40.68%	31	38.75%	96	44.44%
Dogs	144	37.80%	22	27.50%	95	43.98%
Lighting	81	21.26%	20	25.00%	54	25.00%
Fences	36	9.45%	4	5.00%	8	3.70%
Other:	66	17.32%	14	17.50%	44	20.37%
Missing Cases	33	7.97%	6	6.98%	20	8.47%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>35 Code-community wide</b>						
Littering	247	63.50%	45	56.96%	132	60.27%
Residential occupancy	262	67.35%	47	59.49%	146	66.67%
Vehicles idling	134	34.45%	27	34.18%	60	27.40%
Disabled / abandoned vehicles	283	72.75%	56	70.89%	137	62.56%
Storage in public right of way of private vehicles / RVs/ materials/ etc	214	55.01%	44	55.70%	107	48.86%
On street parking	147	37.79%	24	30.38%	77	35.16%
"Stored" materials /vehicles on private property	176	45.24%	30	37.97%	85	38.81%
Weeds	153	39.33%	24	30.38%	86	39.27%
Noise	169	43.44%	35	44.30%	101	46.12%
Dogs	139	35.73%	23	29.11%	94	42.92%
Lighting	92	23.65%	25	31.65%	50	22.83%
Fences	45	11.57%	5	6.33%	18	8.22%
Other:	38	9.77%	7	8.86%	27	12.33%
Missing Cases	25	6.04%	7	8.14%	17	7.20%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>37 Trails and bike paths</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	46	11.86%	4	5.06%	23	10.45%
2	33	8.51%	8	10.13%	25	11.36%
3	75	19.33%	14	17.72%	52	23.64%
4	75	19.33%	14	17.72%	33	15.00%
5 - Very Supportive	159	40.98%	39	49.37%	87	39.55%
1 - Not willing to pay	73	18.81%	12	15.19%	39	17.73%
2	48	12.37%	10	12.66%	29	13.18%
3	94	24.23%	22	27.85%	57	25.91%
4	84	21.65%	13	16.46%	40	18.18%
5 - Very willing to pay	89	22.94%	22	27.85%	55	25.00%
Missing Cases	26	6.28%	7	8.14%	16	6.78%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>38 Open Space</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	47	12.18%	7	8.86%	18	8.22%
2	27	6.99%	10	12.66%	19	8.68%
3	87	22.54%	19	24.05%	64	29.22%
4	74	19.17%	14	17.72%	32	14.61%
5 - Very Supportive	151	39.12%	29	36.71%	86	39.27%
1 - Not willing to pay	69	17.88%	20	25.32%	36	16.44%
2	52	13.47%	6	7.59%	26	11.87%
3	97	25.13%	25	31.65%	62	28.31%
4	71	18.39%	14	17.72%	44	20.09%
5 - Very willing to pay	97	25.13%	14	17.72%	51	23.29%
Missing Cases	28	6.76%	7	8.14%	17	7.20%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>39 Affordable workforce housing</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	72	18.41%	8	10.00%	37	17.05%
2	45	11.51%	7	8.75%	23	10.60%
3	99	25.32%	15	18.75%	46	21.20%
4	67	17.14%	15	18.75%	40	18.43%
5 - Very Supportive	108	27.62%	35	43.75%	71	32.72%
1 - Not willing to pay	139	35.55%	21	26.25%	67	30.88%
2	76	19.44%	12	15.00%	28	12.90%
3	88	22.51%	17	21.25%	50	23.04%
4	48	12.28%	6	7.50%	33	15.21%
5 - Very willing to pay	40	10.23%	24	30.00%	39	17.97%
Missing Cases	23	5.56%	6	6.98%	19	8.05%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>40 Add code enforcement staff</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	55	14.14%	9	11.39%	24	11.06%
2	57	14.65%	11	13.92%	35	16.13%
3	111	28.53%	28	35.44%	65	29.95%
4	70	17.99%	14	17.72%	34	15.67%
5 - Very Supportive	96	24.68%	17	21.52%	59	27.19%
1 - Not willing to pay	88	22.62%	20	25.32%	48	22.12%
2	62	15.94%	12	15.19%	38	17.51%
3	114	29.31%	25	31.65%	61	28.11%
4	57	14.65%	9	11.39%	30	13.82%
5 - Very willing to pay	68	17.48%	13	16.46%	40	18.43%
Missing Cases	25	6.04%	7	8.14%	19	8.05%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>41 Downtown parking</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	90	23.14%	11	13.75%	52	23.96%
2	84	21.59%	15	18.75%	51	23.50%
3	133	34.19%	27	33.75%	62	28.57%
4	41	10.54%	13	16.25%	30	13.82%
5 - Very Supportive	41	10.54%	14	17.50%	22	10.14%
1 - Not willing to pay	154	39.59%	24	30.00%	93	42.86%
2	90	23.14%	22	27.50%	47	21.66%
3	109	28.02%	22	27.50%	53	24.42%
4	17	4.37%	9	11.25%	14	6.45%
5 - Very willing to pay	19	4.88%	3	3.75%	10	4.61%
Missing Cases	25	6.04%	6	6.98%	19	8.05%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>42 Sidewalk improvements</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	60	15.54%	7	9.21%	29	13.30%
2	69	17.88%	11	14.47%	40	18.35%
3	133	34.46%	26	34.21%	67	30.73%
4	61	15.80%	15	19.74%	42	19.27%
5 - Very Supportive	63	16.32%	17	22.37%	40	18.35%
1 - Not willing to pay	100	25.91%	17	22.37%	51	23.39%
2	79	20.47%	13	17.11%	42	19.27%
3	126	32.64%	27	35.53%	77	35.32%
4	49	12.69%	9	11.84%	29	13.30%
5 - Very willing to pay	32	8.29%	10	13.16%	19	8.72%
Missing Cases	28	6.76%	10	11.63%	18	7.63%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>43 Street improvements</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	33	8.66%	1	1.27%	16	7.44%
2	62	16.27%	9	11.39%	44	20.47%
3	141	37.01%	33	41.77%	72	33.49%
4	82	21.52%	13	16.46%	44	20.47%
5 - Very Supportive	63	16.54%	23	29.11%	39	18.14%
1 - Not willing to pay	68	17.85%	17	21.52%	35	16.28%
2	74	19.42%	7	8.86%	46	21.40%
3	138	36.22%	30	37.97%	83	38.60%
4	68	17.85%	14	17.72%	29	13.49%
5 - Very willing to pay	33	8.66%	11	13.92%	22	10.23%
Missing Cases	33	7.97%	7	8.14%	21	8.90%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>44 Gateway River Park</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	76	19.84%	12	15.19%	47	21.27%
2	38	9.92%	5	6.33%	32	14.48%
3	87	22.72%	15	18.99%	50	22.62%
4	83	21.67%	18	22.78%	46	20.81%
5 - Very Supportive	99	25.85%	29	36.71%	46	20.81%
1 - Not willing to pay	104	27.15%	19	24.05%	67	30.32%
2	54	14.10%	7	8.86%	28	12.67%
3	96	25.07%	16	20.25%	53	23.98%
4	65	16.97%	14	17.72%	38	17.19%
5 - Very willing to pay	64	16.71%	23	29.11%	35	15.84%
Missing Cases	31	7.49%	7	8.14%	15	6.36%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>45 Develop more playing fields</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	123	31.78%	12	15.58%	61	28.11%
2	86	22.22%	18	23.38%	52	23.96%
3	106	27.39%	28	36.36%	58	26.73%
4	32	8.27%	8	10.39%	20	9.22%
5 - Very Supportive	40	10.34%	11	14.29%	26	11.98%
1 - Not willing to pay	162	41.86%	23	29.87%	83	38.25%
2	83	21.45%	19	24.68%	49	22.58%
3	90	23.26%	19	24.68%	53	24.42%
4	30	7.75%	10	12.99%	19	8.76%
5 - Very willing to pay	22	5.68%	6	7.79%	13	5.99%
Missing Cases	27	6.52%	9	10.47%	19	8.05%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>46 Transit service -RFTA</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	72	18.51%	7	8.97%	30	13.45%
2	48	12.34%	14	17.95%	35	15.70%
3	117	30.08%	20	25.64%	68	30.49%
4	70	17.99%	12	15.38%	36	16.14%
5 - Very Supportive	82	21.08%	25	32.05%	54	24.22%
1 - Not willing to pay	113	29.05%	20	25.64%	51	22.87%
2	64	16.45%	20	25.64%	39	17.49%
3	106	27.25%	10	12.82%	69	30.94%
4	54	13.88%	15	19.23%	32	14.35%
5 - Very willing to pay	52	13.37%	13	16.67%	32	14.35%
Missing Cases	25	6.04%	8	9.30%	13	5.51%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>47 In-town transit service</b>						
1 - Not Supportive	139	35.64%	23	29.49%	71	31.84%
2	63	16.15%	12	15.38%	49	21.97%
3	95	24.36%	15	19.23%	54	24.22%
4	43	11.03%	12	15.38%	26	11.66%
5 - Very Supportive	50	12.82%	16	20.51%	23	10.31%
1 - Not willing to pay	183	46.92%	28	35.90%	87	39.01%
2	69	17.69%	16	20.51%	48	21.52%
3	71	18.21%	12	15.38%	57	25.56%
4	33	8.46%	10	12.82%	18	8.07%
5 - Very willing to pay	34	8.72%	12	15.38%	13	5.83%
Missing Cases	24	5.80%	8	9.30%	13	5.51%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>49 Police services</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	24	6.67%	6	7.69%	19	8.96%
2	45	12.50%	16	20.51%	20	9.43%
3	104	28.89%	20	25.64%	56	26.42%
4	126	35.00%	21	26.92%	79	37.26%
5 Very Satisfied	61	16.94%	15	19.23%	38	17.92%
Missing Cases	54	13.04%	8	9.30%	24	10.17%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>50 Administration</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	11	3.30%	3	3.95%	10	5.43%
2	25	7.51%	7	9.21%	7	3.80%
3	101	30.33%	23	30.26%	62	33.70%
4	129	38.74%	21	27.63%	64	34.78%
5 Very Satisfied	67	20.12%	22	28.95%	41	22.28%
Missing Cases	81	19.57%	10	11.63%	52	22.03%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>51 Utilities (Water &amp; Sewer)</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	6	1.68%	2	2.67%	5	2.36%
2	22	6.16%	6	8.00%	9	4.25%
3	102	28.57%	21	28.00%	57	26.89%
4	152	42.58%	27	36.00%	91	42.92%
5 Very Satisfied	75	21.01%	19	25.33%	50	23.58%
Missing Cases	57	13.77%	11	12.79%	24	10.17%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>52 Community Development</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	52	15.16%	11	15.28%	28	14.66%
2	60	17.49%	13	18.06%	29	15.18%
3	104	30.32%	19	26.39%	62	32.46%
4	98	28.57%	18	25.00%	58	30.37%
5 Very Satisfied	29	8.45%	11	15.28%	14	7.33%
Missing Cases	71	17.15%	14	16.28%	45	19.07%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>53 Public Works (Streets, Parks)</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	15	4.25%	6	8.11%	12	5.83%
2	37	10.48%	8	10.81%	18	8.74%
3	109	30.88%	19	25.68%	66	32.04%
4	137	38.81%	26	35.14%	75	36.41%
5 Very Satisfied	55	15.58%	15	20.27%	35	16.99%
Missing Cases	61	14.73%	12	13.95%	30	12.71%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>54 Recreation Department</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	4	1.16%	2	2.82%	4	1.95%
2	23	6.65%	4	5.63%	13	6.34%
3	115	33.24%	15	21.13%	56	27.32%
4	131	37.86%	32	45.07%	97	47.32%
5 Very Satisfied	73	21.10%	18	25.35%	35	17.07%
Missing Cases	68	16.43%	15	17.44%	31	13.14%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>55 Town Council</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	45	13.76%	16	21.62%	30	15.63%
2	49	14.98%	9	12.16%	32	16.67%
3	115	35.17%	24	32.43%	60	31.25%
4	86	26.30%	13	17.57%	58	30.21%
5 Very Satisfied	32	9.79%	12	16.22%	12	6.25%
Missing Cases	87	21.01%	12	13.95%	44	18.64%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>56 Planning Commission</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	46	14.51%	12	17.14%	28	15.64%
2	65	20.50%	13	18.57%	36	20.11%
3	116	36.59%	31	44.29%	71	39.66%
4	64	20.19%	6	8.57%	37	20.67%
5 Very Satisfied	26	8.20%	8	11.43%	7	3.91%
Missing Cases	97	23.43%	16	18.60%	57	24.15%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>57 Future planning</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	84	25.07%	22	30.99%	49	25.52%
2	80	23.88%	16	22.54%	52	27.08%
3	106	31.64%	22	30.99%	57	29.69%
4	52	15.52%	9	12.68%	30	15.63%
5 Very Satisfied	13	3.88%	2	2.82%	4	2.08%
Missing Cases	79	19.08%	15	17.44%	44	18.64%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>58 Gathering feedback</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	43	12.99%	14	18.92%	28	13.93%
2	62	18.73%	10	13.51%	33	16.42%
3	110	33.23%	26	35.14%	73	36.32%
4	74	22.36%	16	21.62%	53	26.37%
5 Very Satisfied	42	12.69%	8	10.81%	14	6.97%
Missing Cases	83	20.05%	12	13.95%	35	14.83%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>59 Information dissemination</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	42	13.08%	7	9.59%	25	13.37%
2	66	20.56%	12	16.44%	39	20.86%
3	123	38.32%	35	47.95%	71	37.97%
4	69	21.50%	16	21.92%	46	24.60%
5 Very Satisfied	21	6.54%	3	4.11%	6	3.21%
Missing Cases	93	22.46%	13	15.12%	49	20.76%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>60 Snow removal</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	22	6.03%	1	1.30%	11	5.07%
2	43	11.78%	5	6.49%	31	14.29%
3	114	31.23%	27	35.06%	49	22.58%
4	121	33.15%	32	41.56%	85	39.17%
5 Very Satisfied	65	17.81%	12	15.58%	41	18.89%
Missing Cases	49	11.84%	9	10.47%	19	8.05%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>61 Services for seniors</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	21	7.98%	5	9.26%	10	6.80%
2	42	15.97%	11	20.37%	24	16.33%
3	130	49.43%	26	48.15%	72	48.98%
4	57	21.67%	7	12.96%	32	21.77%
5 Very Satisfied	13	4.94%	5	9.26%	9	6.12%
Missing Cases	151	36.47%	32	37.21%	89	37.71%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>62 Services for teens</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	15	5.51%	6	9.68%	11	6.75%
2	43	15.81%	14	22.58%	24	14.72%
3	140	51.47%	24	38.71%	78	47.85%
4	51	18.75%	14	22.58%	39	23.93%
5 Very Satisfied	23	8.46%	4	6.45%	11	6.75%
Missing Cases	142	34.30%	24	27.91%	73	30.93%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>63 Summer recreation programs</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	6	2.08%	1	1.47%	6	3.49%
2	20	6.92%	7	10.29%	14	8.14%
3	139	48.10%	25	36.76%	67	38.95%
4	82	28.37%	30	44.12%	65	37.79%
5 Very Satisfied	42	14.53%	5	7.35%	20	11.63%
Missing Cases	125	30.19%	18	20.93%	64	27.12%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>64 Winter recreation programs</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	6	2.28%	1	1.56%	5	3.14%
2	35	13.31%	11	17.19%	22	13.84%
3	135	51.33%	26	40.63%	75	47.17%
4	59	22.43%	23	35.94%	45	28.30%
5 Very Satisfied	28	10.65%	3	4.69%	12	7.55%
Missing Cases	151	36.47%	22	25.58%	77	32.63%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>65 Public swimming pool improvement</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	22	8.12%	5	7.58%	17	10.37%
2	56	20.66%	9	13.64%	21	12.80%
3	116	42.80%	29	43.94%	66	40.24%
4	54	19.93%	14	21.21%	45	27.44%
5 Very Satisfied	23	8.49%	9	13.64%	15	9.15%
Missing Cases	143	34.54%	20	23.26%	72	30.51%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>66 Recreation facilities</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	6	2.11%	4	5.97%	4	2.34%
2	31	10.92%	6	8.96%	14	8.19%
3	126	44.37%	25	37.31%	77	45.03%
4	85	29.93%	23	34.33%	60	35.09%
5 Very Satisfied	36	12.68%	9	13.43%	16	9.36%
Missing Cases	130	31.40%	19	22.09%	65	27.54%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>67 Historic preservation programs</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	16	5.97%	4	6.45%	5	3.23%
2	35	13.06%	11	17.74%	20	12.90%
3	145	54.10%	28	45.16%	87	56.13%
4	48	17.91%	16	25.81%	35	22.58%
5 Very Satisfied	24	8.96%	3	4.84%	8	5.16%
Missing Cases	146	35.27%	24	27.91%	81	34.32%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>68 General satisfaction</b>						
1 Not Satisfied	27	7.20%	8	10.00%	20	9.13%
2	50	13.33%	12	15.00%	21	9.59%
3	134	35.73%	26	32.50%	84	38.36%
4	132	35.20%	31	38.75%	82	37.44%
5 Very Satisfied	32	8.53%	3	3.75%	12	5.48%
Missing Cases	39	9.42%	6	6.98%	17	7.20%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>69 Registered voter</b>						
Yes	318	78.71%	40	48.19%	227	97.01%
No	86	21.29%	43	51.81%	7	2.99%
Missing Cases	10	2.42%	3	3.49%	2	0.85%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>70 Family Status</b>						
Single, no children	50	12.32%	7	8.24%	50	21.37%
Single, with children	19	4.68%	4	4.71%	11	4.70%
Single, children no longer at home	29	7.14%	5	5.88%	17	7.26%
Couple, no children	95	23.40%	19	22.35%	34	14.53%
Couple, with children	112	27.59%	30	35.29%	73	31.20%
Couple, children no longer at home	88	21.67%	19	22.35%	42	17.95%
Other:	18	4.43%	2	2.35%	11	4.70%
Missing Cases	8	1.93%	1	1.16%	2	0.85%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>71 People in household</b>						
1	66	16.34%	7	8.64%	49	21.12%
2	201	49.75%	39	48.15%	90	38.79%
3	64	15.84%	12	14.81%	46	19.83%
4	57	14.11%	21	25.93%	28	12.07%
5	13	3.22%	2	2.47%	16	6.90%
6+	3	0.74%	0	0.00%	3	1.29%
Missing Cases	10	2.42%	5	5.81%	4	1.69%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>72 # of Children: 0-18</b>						
0	265	66.58%	45	54.22%	139	61.78%
1	57	14.32%	14	16.87%	31	13.78%
2	60	15.08%	22	26.51%	40	17.78%
3	13	3.27%	2	2.41%	12	5.33%
4	2	0.50%	0	0.00%	2	0.89%
5+	1	0.25%	0	0.00%	1	0.44%
Missing Cases	16	3.86%	3	3.49%	11	4.66%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>74 Attend school</b>						
Roaring Fork School District (RE-1)	47	45.19%	11	34.38%	41	57.75%
Aspen School District	5	4.81%	2	6.25%	4	5.63%
Other Public School	8	7.69%	8	25.00%	4	5.63%
Private School - Local	17	16.35%	3	9.38%	18	25.35%
Private School - Non-local	7	6.73%	0	0.00%	1	1.41%
Home Schooled	4	3.85%	2	6.25%	1	1.41%
Other	28	26.92%	10	31.25%	14	19.72%
Missing Cases	310	74.88%	54	62.79%	165	69.92%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>75 Age</b>						
under 20	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
20-24	2	0.49%	0	0.00%	7	2.99%
25-34	43	10.51%	7	8.24%	39	16.67%
35-44	76	18.58%	26	30.59%	42	17.95%
45-54	102	24.94%	30	35.29%	51	21.79%
55-64	105	25.67%	17	20.00%	52	22.22%
65-74	52	12.71%	5	5.88%	29	12.39%
Over 75	29	7.09%	0	0.00%	14	5.98%
Missing Cases	5	1.21%	1	1.16%	2	0.85%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>76 Gender</b>						
Male	204	49.64%	44	51.76%	96	41.38%
Female	207	50.36%	41	48.24%	136	58.62%
Missing Cases	3	0.72%	1	1.16%	4	1.69%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>77 Ethnicity</b>						
Black / African American	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Latino / Hispanic Origin	18	4.47%	0	0.00%	3	1.30%
Native American	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	0.87%
Asian	2	0.50%	0	0.00%	2	0.87%
Pacific Islander	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
White	371	92.06%	80	95.24%	217	93.94%
Other:	13	3.23%	4	4.76%	9	3.90%
Missing Cases	11	2.66%	2	2.33%	5	2.12%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>78 Education</b>						
Less than 9th grade	1	0.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	10	2.45%	0	0.00%	1	0.43%
High school graduate or GED	18	4.41%	3	3.57%	15	6.41%
Some college, no degree	84	20.59%	16	19.05%	44	18.80%
Associate's degree	25	6.13%	5	5.95%	25	10.68%
Bachelor's degree	134	32.84%	33	39.29%	82	35.04%
Graduate or professional degree	136	33.33%	27	32.14%	67	28.63%
Missing Cases	6	1.45%	2	2.33%	2	0.85%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>79 Rent or own</b>						
Own	401	98.04%	60	85.71%	188	81.03%
Rent	8	1.96%	10	14.29%	44	18.97%
Missing Cases	5	1.21%	16	18.60%	4	1.69%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>80 Deed-restricted</b>						
No	385	96.01%	77	97.47%	213	92.21%
Yes - deed restricted, subsidized or employee-restricted housing	17	4.24%	1	1.27%	16	6.93%
Yes - employer-assisted housing	0	0.00%	1	1.27%	0	0.00%
Other:	1	0.25%	0	0.00%	2	0.87%
Missing Cases	13	3.14%	7	8.14%	5	2.12%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>81 Type of housing</b>						
Single Family Detached home	278	68.14%	58	79.45%	144	62.34%
Townhome (multi-family)	56	13.73%	1	1.37%	32	13.85%
Duplex	29	7.11%	3	4.11%	16	6.93%
Apartment	2	0.49%	3	4.11%	20	8.66%
Condominium	33	8.09%	2	2.74%	10	4.33%
Mobile Home	2	0.49%	0	0.00%	2	0.87%
Other	16	3.92%	7	9.59%	8	3.46%
Missing Cases	6	1.45%	13	15.12%	5	2.12%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>82 Mortgage or rent</b>						
\$0	83	21.28%	7	9.72%	32	14.16%
\$1 - 299	2	0.51%	0	0.00%	6	2.65%
\$300 - 499	4	1.03%	0	0.00%	7	3.10%
\$500 - 699	13	3.33%	2	2.78%	8	3.54%
\$700 - 999	35	8.97%	4	5.56%	26	11.50%
\$1000 - 1,299	37	9.49%	7	9.72%	35	15.49%
\$1,300 - 1,499	46	11.79%	8	11.11%	25	11.06%
\$1,500 - 1,699	24	6.15%	11	15.28%	24	10.62%
\$1,700 - 1,999	39	10.00%	6	8.33%	21	9.29%
\$2,000 - 2,299	28	7.18%	11	15.28%	11	4.87%
\$2,300 - 2,499	20	5.13%	2	2.78%	7	3.10%
\$2,500 - 2,999	19	4.87%	6	8.33%	10	4.42%
\$3,000 or greater	40	10.26%	8	11.11%	14	6.19%
Missing Cases	24	5.80%	14	16.28%	10	4.24%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>83 # employed</b>						
0	64	15.76%	1	1.22%	39	16.81%
1	128	31.53%	17	20.73%	59	25.43%
2	187	46.06%	56	68.29%	109	46.98%
3	23	5.67%	7	8.54%	21	9.05%
4	2	0.49%	1	1.22%	2	0.86%
5	2	0.49%	0	0.00%	2	0.86%
6+	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Missing Cases	8	1.93%	4	4.65%	4	1.69%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>85 Employment status</b>						
Part time employed	29	9.60%	5	7.14%	21	12.73%
Full time employed	149	49.34%	41	58.57%	76	46.06%
Retired	55	18.21%	1	1.43%	19	11.52%
Looking for work	2	0.66%	0	0.00%	1	0.61%
Self employed	42	13.91%	19	27.14%	31	18.79%
Work more than one job	6	1.99%	1	1.43%	1	0.61%
Other:	19	6.29%	3	4.29%	16	9.70%
Part time employed	39	12.91%	10	14.29%	17	10.30%
Full time employed	141	46.69%	35	50.00%	96	58.18%
Retired	47	15.56%	3	4.29%	19	11.52%
Looking for work	2	0.66%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Self employed	46	15.23%	13	18.57%	22	13.33%
Work more than one job	8	2.65%	5	7.14%	1	0.61%
Other:	19	6.29%	4	5.71%	10	6.06%
Missing Cases	112	27.05%	16	18.60%	71	30.08%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>86 Employed where</b>						
In or near Aspen	43	19.63%	1	1.47%	31	23.85%
Town of Snowmass Village area	4	1.83%	0	0.00%	2	1.54%
Unincorporated Pitkin County	3	1.37%	1	1.47%	0	0.00%
Unincorporated Eagle County	2	0.91%	1	1.47%	0	0.00%
Unincorporated Garfield County	6	2.74%	2	2.94%	3	2.31%
Basalt/El Jebel area	18	8.22%	0	0.00%	11	8.46%
Carbondale area	101	46.12%	57	83.82%	51	39.23%
Glenwood Springs area	13	5.94%	1	1.47%	12	9.23%
Other:	29	13.24%	5	7.35%	20	15.38%
In or near Aspen	46	21.00%	6	8.82%	30	23.08%
Town of Snowmass Village area	11	5.02%	0	0.00%	6	4.62%
Unincorporated Pitkin County	1	0.46%	1	1.47%	3	2.31%
Unincorporated Eagle County	2	0.91%	1	1.47%	1	0.77%
Unincorporated Garfield County	6	2.74%	0	0.00%	3	2.31%
Basalt/El Jebel area	19	8.68%	4	5.88%	8	6.15%
Carbondale area	91	41.55%	42	61.76%	50	38.46%
Glenwood Springs area	16	7.31%	6	8.82%	18	13.85%
Other:	27	12.33%	8	11.76%	11	8.46%
Missing Cases	195	47.10%	18	20.93%	106	44.92%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>87 Industry</b>						
Recreation Services e.g. Ski industry	12	5.80%	1	1.61%	10	7.75%
Lodging	5	2.42%	1	1.61%	2	1.55%
Food Services & Drinking Places	4	1.93%	6	9.68%	3	2.33%
Real Estate/Property Management	8	3.86%	3	4.84%	10	7.75%
Retail Trade	9	4.35%	3	4.84%	3	2.33%
Construction (including Maintenance)	31	14.98%	9	14.52%	12	9.30%
Professional Services	67	32.37%	20	32.26%	35	27.13%
Personal Services (cleaning, massage, etc.)	6	2.90%	1	1.61%	4	3.10%
Government	13	6.28%	1	1.61%	13	10.08%
Other:	52	25.12%	17	27.42%	37	28.68%
Recreation services e.g. Ski industry	12	5.80%	1	1.61%	7	5.43%
Lodging	7	3.38%	2	3.23%	2	1.55%
Food Services & Drinking Places	5	2.42%	5	8.06%	6	4.65%
Real Estate/Property Management	11	5.31%	2	3.23%	5	3.88%
Retail Trade	13	6.28%	2	3.23%	5	3.88%
Construction (including Maintenance)	37	17.87%	7	11.29%	20	15.50%
Professional Services	57	27.54%	26	41.94%	36	27.91%
Personal Services (cleaning, massage, etc.)	9	4.35%	0	0.00%	4	3.10%
Government	12	5.80%	2	3.23%	12	9.30%
Other:	44	21.26%	15	24.19%	32	24.81%
Missing Cases	207	50.00%	24	27.91%	107	45.34%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>88 Transportation to work</b>						
Walk	15	7.04%	4	6.15%	8	6.02%
Bicycle	25	11.74%	10	15.38%	12	9.02%
Private vehicle	112	52.58%	38	58.46%	68	51.13%
Carpool	10	4.69%	0	0.00%	10	7.52%
Bus or other transit	8	3.76%	0	0.00%	9	6.77%
Work at home	27	12.68%	9	13.85%	14	10.53%
Other:	16	7.51%	4	6.15%	12	9.02%
Walk	14	6.57%	6	9.23%	10	7.52%
Bicycle	18	8.45%	3	4.62%	13	9.77%
Private vehicle	124	58.22%	35	53.85%	81	60.90%
Carpool	19	8.92%	1	1.54%	6	4.51%
Bus or other transit	10	4.69%	1	1.54%	6	4.51%
Work at home	15	7.04%	12	18.46%	8	6.02%
Other:	13	6.10%	7	10.77%	9	6.77%
Missing Cases	201	48.55%	21	24.42%	103	43.64%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>89 Income</b>						
\$0 -14,999	2	0.55%	1	1.32%	8	3.86%
\$15,000 - 34,999	25	6.93%	2	2.63%	21	10.14%
35,000 – 41,999	20	5.54%	3	3.95%	15	7.25%
42,000 – 51,999	30	8.31%	1	1.32%	13	6.28%
52,000 – 63,999	31	8.59%	9	11.84%	17	8.21%
64,000 – 75,999	44	12.19%	9	11.84%	25	12.08%
76,000 – 99,999	61	16.90%	9	11.84%	47	22.71%
\$100,000 - 149,999	80	22.16%	25	32.89%	35	16.91%
150,000 - 199,999	31	8.59%	5	6.58%	14	6.76%
200,000 - 299,999	18	4.99%	7	9.21%	9	4.35%
300,000 - 499,999	14	3.88%	3	3.95%	2	0.97%
500,000 - 999,999	3	0.83%	0	0.00%	1	0.48%
1,000,000+	2	0.55%	2	2.63%	0	0.00%
Missing Cases	53	12.80%	10	11.63%	29	12.29%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>92 Current use</b>						
Full time rental	47	55.29%				
Part time rental	4	4.71%				
Owner use only	20	23.53%				
Owner, friends and family use	13	15.29%				
Corporate use	0	0.00%				
No use	0	0.00%				
Other:	6	7.06%				
Missing Cases	329	79.47%				

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>93 Total usage</b>						
None	29	31.52%				
1-7	2	2.17%				
8-14	0	0.00%				
15-29	2	2.17%				
30-59	6	6.52%				
60-89	0	0.00%				
90-119	5	5.43%				
120-299	15	16.30%				
300+	33	35.87%				
Missing Cases	322	77.78%				

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>95 Future use: residence</b>						
Increase my personal use of the residence/property	16	14.04%				
Increase use by friends and family	11	9.65%				
Maintain current use	60	52.63%				
Decrease current use	4	3.51%				
Sell the residence/property	11	9.65%				
Use the residence as a full time rental unit	18	15.79%				
Use the residence as a part time rental unit	1	0.88%				
Retire to the area and use as retirement residence	13	11.40%				
Renovate the residence	7	6.14%				
Become a full time resident	11	9.65%				
Other:	6	5.26%				
Missing Cases	300	72.46%				

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>96 Recreational activities</b>						
Playgrounds	110	31.52%	25	36.23%	73	37.44%
Picnic areas	89	25.50%	17	24.64%	70	35.90%
Walking/Jogging	294	84.24%	51	73.91%	167	85.64%
Mountain Biking	182	52.15%	39	56.52%	112	57.44%
Nordic Skiing	159	45.56%	47	68.12%	97	49.74%
Downhill Skiing	224	64.18%	44	63.77%	122	62.56%
Snowboarding	78	22.35%	17	24.64%	47	24.10%
In-line skating	29	8.31%	6	8.70%	24	12.31%
Ice (hockey or skating)	49	14.04%	13	18.84%	22	11.28%
Fishing	145	41.55%	29	42.03%	77	39.49%
Golf	129	36.96%	29	42.03%	64	32.82%
Hiking	264	75.64%	59	85.51%	155	79.49%
Swimming	149	42.69%	39	56.52%	106	54.36%
Tennis	70	20.06%	18	26.09%	45	23.08%
Ballfields	54	15.47%	14	20.29%	37	18.97%
Rodeo	92	26.36%	23	33.33%	48	24.62%
Community Garden	19	5.44%	1	1.45%	11	5.64%
Other:	80	22.92%	11	15.94%	56	28.72%
Missing Cases	65	15.70%	17	19.77%	41	17.37%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>98 Sense of community</b>						
1 Very Poor	9	2.50%	1	1.37%	12	5.74%
2	33	9.17%	9	12.33%	16	7.66%
3	85	23.61%	17	23.29%	49	23.44%
4	137	38.06%	23	31.51%	78	37.32%
5 Very Good	96	26.67%	23	31.51%	54	25.84%
Missing Cases	54	13.04%	13	15.12%	27	11.44%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>99 Appearance of town</b>						
1 Very Poor	22	5.99%	3	4.05%	4	1.90%
2	52	14.17%	10	13.51%	35	16.67%
3	117	31.88%	23	31.08%	71	33.81%
4	138	37.60%	27	36.49%	71	33.81%
5 Very Good	38	10.35%	11	14.86%	29	13.81%
Missing Cases	47	11.35%	12	13.95%	26	11.02%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>100 Economic health</b>						
1 Very Poor	27	7.54%	9	12.33%	17	8.42%
2	57	15.92%	13	17.81%	35	17.33%
3	124	34.64%	24	32.88%	70	34.65%
4	126	35.20%	15	20.55%	66	32.67%
5 Very Good	24	6.70%	12	16.44%	14	6.93%
Missing Cases	56	13.53%	13	15.12%	34	14.41%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>101 Traffic</b>						
1 Very Poor	47	12.91%	9	12.16%	37	17.79%
2	126	34.62%	26	35.14%	60	28.85%
3	145	39.84%	27	36.49%	78	37.50%
4	42	11.54%	11	14.86%	26	12.50%
5 Very Good	4	1.10%	1	1.35%	7	3.37%
Missing Cases	50	12.08%	12	13.95%	28	11.86%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>102 Music, Culture and the Arts</b>						
1 Very Poor	2	0.56%	1	1.39%	3	1.48%
2	23	6.50%	3	4.17%	7	3.45%
3	107	30.23%	15	20.83%	52	25.62%
4	144	40.68%	35	48.61%	82	40.39%
5 Very Good	78	22.03%	18	25.00%	59	29.06%
Missing Cases	60	14.49%	14	16.28%	33	13.98%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List		
	n=414		n=86		n=236		
<b>103 Water quality/quantity</b>							
1 Very Poor	1	0.28%	0	0.00%	1	0.49%	
2	11	3.09%	1	1.37%	5	2.43%	
3	70	19.66%	15	20.55%	37	17.96%	
4	162	45.51%	33	45.21%	85	41.26%	
5 Very Good	112	31.46%	24	32.88%	78	37.86%	
Missing Cases	58	14.01%	13	15.12%	30	12.71%	

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List		
	n=414		n=86		n=236		
<b>104 Air quality</b>							
1 Very Poor	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
2	8	2.22%	0	0.00%	4	1.92%	
3	55	15.28%	9	12.33%	27	12.98%	
4	164	45.56%	36	49.32%	81	38.94%	
5 Very Good	133	36.94%	28	38.36%	96	46.15%	
Missing Cases	54	13.04%	13	15.12%	28	11.86%	

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List		
	n=414		n=86		n=236		
<b>105 Parking</b>							
1 Very Poor	16	4.42%	5	6.85%	7	3.38%	
2	51	14.09%	8	10.96%	31	14.98%	
3	183	50.55%	37	50.68%	89	43.00%	
4	85	23.48%	19	26.03%	65	31.40%	
5 Very Good	27	7.46%	4	5.48%	15	7.25%	
Missing Cases	52	12.56%	13	15.12%	29	12.29%	

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List		
	n=414		n=86		n=236		
<b>106 Scenic/visual quality</b>							
1 Very Poor	5	1.40%	0	0.00%	2	0.95%	
2	14	3.93%	1	1.37%	2	0.95%	
3	44	12.36%	6	8.22%	28	13.27%	
4	115	32.30%	26	35.62%	82	38.86%	
5 Very Good	178	50.00%	40	54.79%	97	45.97%	
Missing Cases	58	14.01%	13	15.12%	25	10.59%	

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List		
	n=414		n=86		n=236		
<b>107 Parks and trails</b>							
1 Very Poor	1	0.28%	2	2.74%	1	0.49%	
2	16	4.47%	0	0.00%	3	1.47%	
3	83	23.18%	14	19.18%	36	17.65%	
4	185	51.68%	37	50.68%	110	53.92%	
5 Very Good	73	20.39%	20	27.40%	54	26.47%	
Missing Cases	56	13.53%	13	15.12%	32	13.56%	

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>108 Open space</b>						
1 Very Poor	5	1.40%	2	2.74%	4	1.98%
2	41	11.45%	0	0.00%	17	8.42%
3	106	29.61%	27	36.99%	63	31.19%
4	156	43.58%	33	45.21%	91	45.05%
5 Very Good	50	13.97%	11	15.07%	27	13.37%
Missing Cases	56	13.53%	13	15.12%	34	14.41%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>109 Shopping opportunities</b>						
1 Very Poor	64	17.58%	18	24.66%	40	19.51%
2	93	25.55%	12	16.44%	58	28.29%
3	123	33.79%	23	31.51%	64	31.22%
4	65	17.86%	17	23.29%	33	16.10%
5 Very Good	19	5.22%	3	4.11%	10	4.88%
Missing Cases	50	12.08%	13	15.12%	31	13.14%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>110 Public safety</b>						
1 Very Poor	11	3.09%	1	1.37%	6	2.93%
2	40	11.24%	10	13.70%	19	9.27%
3	116	32.58%	20	27.40%	77	37.56%
4	147	41.29%	28	38.36%	70	34.15%
5 Very Good	42	11.80%	14	19.18%	33	16.10%
Missing Cases	58	14.01%	13	15.12%	31	13.14%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>111 Cultural integration</b>						
1 Very Poor	62	17.71%	13	17.81%	43	21.39%
2	110	31.43%	16	21.92%	50	24.88%
3	113	32.29%	34	46.58%	75	37.31%
4	56	16.00%	8	10.96%	24	11.94%
5 Very Good	9	2.57%	2	2.74%	9	4.48%
Missing Cases	64	15.46%	13	15.12%	35	14.83%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>112 Recreation opportunities</b>						
1 Very Poor	1	0.28%	0	0.00%	4	1.93%
2	10	2.79%	3	4.11%	5	2.42%
3	57	15.92%	16	21.92%	37	17.87%
4	137	38.27%	26	35.62%	76	36.71%
5 Very Good	153	42.74%	28	38.36%	85	41.06%
Missing Cases	56	13.53%	13	15.12%	29	12.29%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>113 Public transportation</b>						
1 Very Poor	15	4.35%	2	2.86%	5	2.46%
2	31	8.99%	13	18.57%	17	8.37%
3	147	42.61%	29	41.43%	74	36.45%
4	107	31.01%	21	30.00%	76	37.44%
5 Very Good	45	13.04%	5	7.14%	31	15.27%
Missing Cases	69	16.67%	16	18.60%	33	13.98%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>114 Affordable housing</b>						
1 Very Poor	88	25.43%	26	37.14%	78	39.39%
2	123	35.55%	21	30.00%	50	25.25%
3	102	29.48%	18	25.71%	52	26.26%
4	21	6.07%	3	4.29%	10	5.05%
5 Very Good	12	3.47%	2	2.86%	8	4.04%
Missing Cases	68	16.43%	16	18.60%	38	16.10%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>115 Health care services</b>						
1 Very Poor	22	6.55%	6	8.70%	10	5.05%
2	72	21.43%	15	21.74%	34	17.17%
3	144	42.86%	30	43.48%	93	46.97%
4	70	20.83%	13	18.84%	40	20.20%
5 Very Good	28	8.33%	5	7.25%	21	10.61%
Missing Cases	78	18.84%	17	19.77%	38	16.10%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>116 Child care</b>						
1 Very Poor	20	7.97%	7	12.07%	9	6.38%
2	56	22.31%	15	25.86%	38	26.95%
3	137	54.58%	29	50.00%	70	49.65%
4	26	10.36%	6	10.34%	15	10.64%
5 Very Good	12	4.78%	1	1.72%	9	6.38%
Missing Cases	163	39.37%	28	32.56%	95	40.25%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	n=414		n=86		n=236	
<b>117 Education (K-12)</b>						
1 Very Poor	40	13.56%	12	19.35%	33	18.44%
2	77	26.10%	14	22.58%	38	21.23%
3	108	36.61%	23	37.10%	66	36.87%
4	51	17.29%	12	19.35%	26	14.53%
5 Very Good	19	6.44%	1	1.61%	16	8.94%
Missing Cases	119	28.74%	24	27.91%	57	24.15%

	Assessor List		Business List		Voter List	
	<i>n=414</i>		<i>n=86</i>		<i>n=236</i>	
<b>118 Adult education</b>						
1 Very Poor	11	3.78%	2	3.03%	7	3.89%
2	26	8.93%	5	7.58%	14	7.78%
3	122	41.92%	38	57.58%	80	44.44%
4	102	35.05%	18	27.27%	53	29.44%
5 Very Good	30	10.31%	3	4.55%	26	14.44%
Missing Cases	123	29.71%	20	23.26%	56	23.73%